Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPE	TITION			
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity #	Pane	1 #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex	

Score:	Using the reviewer rub	ric as a guide to understanding the ratings, select a rating to show how well the		
Туре		each selection criterion element.		
the	I. Program Design (50°	%)		
	Strengthening Communities – Questions 1-7			
score	Recruitment and Development Volunteers – Questions 8-11			
selected	Strengthening Communities (35%)			
into this	Q1. Describes the community and demonstrates through both the narrative and work plans that the community need(s)			
column.	identified in the Primary Focus Area exist in the geographic service area.			
	_X_Excellent (50 pts.)	Demonstrates a community need that is a high priority for the geographic service area, using objective data and evidence, or statements of support from key stakeholders. Goes beyond what was requested; shows that meeting this need is a high priority for the geographic service area. Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested. Provides a clear and highly compelling description of the community as well as the need in both the narrative and the work plan. Supports assertion of a high priority community need with statements of support from key stakeholders.		
	_Good (34 pts.)	Describes both the community and the need in the geographic service area using objective data included in both the work plan and the narrative. Provides a response to all of the information requested. Explains most assumptions that the community need exists. Supports assertion of the community need with examples or other objective data.		
	Fair (18 pts.)	Demonstrates a community need in the geographic service area. Describes a community need but is sometimes unclear how the objective data demonstrates that the community need exists in the geographic service area. Describes the community but makes some assumptions about the connection between the community and the community need. The community needs in the narrative and work plans are not aligned.		
	Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Does not describe a community need in the geographic service area. Gives many unsupported assumptions and reasons that the issue described is a community need. Makes many assumptions that the community need exists in the geographic service area. Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it. Does not include a response describing the community need in either the narrative or the work plans.		
	outputs or outcomes.	ive now the service activities in the <u>Finnary Focus rica</u> lead to Fvational Ferrormance incastic		
	_Excellent (50 pts.)	Presents an evidence basis demonstrating that this service activity will lead to the National Performance Measure(s). Highest probability and confidence that the service activity will lead to outputs or outcomes. Goes beyond what was requested, using an evidence basis (using performance data, research, a well-developed theory of change). Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested. Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed RSVP volunteer activities leads to a National Performance Measure.		
	_X_Good (34 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly demonstrates how the proposed service activity is related to successfully achieving the National Performance Measure(s). High probability and confidence that the service activity will lead to outputs or outcomes. Provides a realistic description of how proposed service activity is related to achieving		

Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPE	TITION			
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity #	Pane	1 #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex	

	the National Performance Measure(s).
	 Explains most assumptions and reasons.
Fair (18 pts.)	Demonstrates how the proposed service activity is related to successfully achieving the National Performance Measure. Fair to acceptable probability that the service activity will lead to outputs or outcomes.
	 Is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities will achieve the anticipated results.
	 Makes some assumptions.
Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Does not demonstrate how the proposed service activity is related or is only tangentially related
	to addressing the National Performance Measure. Low probability the service activity will lead to outputs or outcomes.
	Gives an unclear description of how the proposed service activity is related to
	successfully achieving the National Performance Measures.
	 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	 Does not address National Performance Measures.
	 Narrative does not address any performance measures from the work plan.
-	we a plan and infrastructure to support data collection and ensure National Performance Measure
•	neasured, collected, and managed. Highest probability and confidence that the National Performance Measure outputs and
Excellent (50 pts.)	outcomes will be measured, collected, and managed.
	 Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has experience in collecting and reporting similar performance measures with consideration to proper data collection processes ensuring accuracy and consistency.
	 Provides a thorough, detailed explanation of their data collection processes including how the outputs and outcomes will be collected accurately and consistently.
	 Provides a thorough, detailed explanation of the infrastructure available to collect and manage the National Performance Measure data, including systems and tools for facilitating data collection.
_X_Good (34 pts.)	High probability and confidence that the National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes will be measured, collected, and managed.
	Provides a realistic description of how the outputs and outcomes will be accurately and consistently measured.
	 Includes plans to collect National Performance Measure data that explains most assumptions.
	Covers information on infrastructure and data management that explains most assumptions.
Fair (18 pt.)	Acceptable probability that the National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes will be measured, collected, and managed.
	Is sometimes unclear how the outputs and outcomes will be accurately and consistently measured.
	 Includes plans to collect National Performance Measure data that makes some assumptions.
	 Covers information on infrastructure and data management that makes some assumptions.
Does Not Meet (0 pt.)	Low probability the National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes will be measured, collected, and managed.
	 Gives an unclear description of how the outputs and outcomes will be accurately and consistently measured.
	 Includes plans to collect National Performance Measure data that includes many
	unsupported assumptions.

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity # Panel #				
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex	

T.	
	 Covers information on infrastructure that makes many unsupported assumptions.
	 Did not connect the plan or infrastructure to National Performance Measure
	measurement.
	 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	 Does not provide information on either the plan or the infrastructure to collect and
	manage data for National Performance Measures.
Q4. Program Design as des	cribed in the narrative includes activity in service to veterans and/or military families as part of
service in the Primary Focu	s Area, Other Focus Areas or Capacity Building.
Excellent (50 pts.)	Significant activity in service to veterans and/or military families that includes the unique value of
	service by RSVP volunteers who are veterans and/or military family members. Highest
	probability and confidence that the plans for this activity will benefit veterans and/or military
	family members.
	 Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has anticipated issues that
	may arise in serving veterans and/or military families.
	 Provides a clear and realistic plan to serve veterans and/or military families with the
	infrastructure to sustain this service.
	 Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting
	service activity to veterans and/or military families.
Good (34 pts.)	Significant activity in service to veterans and/or military families. High probability and
	confidence that the plans for this activity will benefit veterans and/or military family members.
	 Provides a realistic plan to serve veterans and/or military families.
	 Explains most assumptions and reasons.
	 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
XFair (18 pts.)	Some activity in service to veterans and/or military families. Acceptable confidence that the plans
	for this activity will be met.
	Is sometimes unclear how the proposed service activities will serve veterans and/or
	military families.
D N 16	Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained.
_x_Does Not Meet (0	Unrealistic or no activity(ies) in service to veterans and/or military families or little confidence
pts.)	that proposed plans will lead to activity.
	Gives an unclear description of how the proposed service activities will serve veterans
	and/or military families.
	Gives many unsupported assumptions and reasons in serving veterans and/or military
	families.
	Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
OF W/- 1 -111	Does not address veterans and/or military families.
Performance Measure instr	nnect four major elements in the <u>Primary Focus Area</u> to each other and are aligned with National
	v need(s) identified
	ivities that will be carried out by RSVP volunteers
	description and data collection plans
	clude target numbers that lead to outcomes or outputs, and are appropriate for the level of
	eers assigned to the work plan.
_Excellent (50 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National
(1 /	Performance Measure output and OUTCOME appropriate to the number of unduplicated
	volunteers.
	 Goes beyond what was requested, and commits to National Performance Measure
	outcomes that address the community need.
	 Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested.

Comment [PS1]: Two ratings cannot be selected for one question.

Corporation for National and Community Service					
INDIVIDUA	INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 R	2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #					
Opportunity # Panel #					
Reviewer Name PC Int Ex					

	Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed activities
	connect the community need to a National Performance Measure output and outcome.
	 Links four major element ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and
	connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and
	National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes that are appropriate to the
	number of unduplicated volunteers.
	 Includes a Data Collection Plan.
Good (34 pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National
	Performance Measure <u>OUTPUT</u> appropriate to the number of unduplicated volunteers.
	 Provides a response to all of the information requested.
	 Provides a realistic description of how the proposed activities connect the community need to National Performance Measure outputs.
	 Links four major elements explaining and connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and National Performance Measure outputs
	that are appropriate to the number of unduplicated volunteers.
	 Includes a Data Collection Plan.
Fair (18 pts.)	Connects a community need and the service activities to a National Performance Measure OUTPUT.
	 Covers a community need, service activities, instrument descriptions and a National Performance Measure output that are related.
	 Is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities connect the community need to a
	National Performance Measure output and align with the National Performance Measure
	instructions.
	 Includes unrealistic target numbers or volunteer numbers.
	Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained in describing and
	connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instruments,
	and a National Performance Measure output.
	Outputs and Outcomes may not be appropriate for the number of unduplicated
	volunteers.
_X_Does Not Meet (0 pts.)	Does not connect the four major elements.
	The community need, service activities, data collection instrument, and National
	Performance Measure output are not related.
	Gives an unclear description of how the proposed activities connect the community need
	to National Performance Measure outputs.
	 Includes at least one work plan with zero target numbers.
	Did not connect a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection
	instrument, and a National Performance Measure outcome.
	Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	Does not address one of the four major elements.
O6* Work plans logically o	onnect four major elements in the Other Focus Areas and Capacity Building to each other and are
	rmance Measure instructions:
1. The community	need(s) identified
2. The service acti	ivities that will be carried out by RSVP volunteers
3. The instrument	description and data collection plans
	ude target numbers that lead to outcomes or outputs, and are appropriate for the level of duplicated
	d to the work plan.
*This selection criteria w	ill only be applicable to applications with service activities in Other Focus Areas and
Capacity Building.	
N/A (Double Q5 pts)	This application does not include service activities in Other Focus Areas and Capacity Building.

Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPE	TITION			
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity #	Pane	1 #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex	

	_Excellent (50	pts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National
	` '	. ,	Performance Measure output and OUTCOMES appropriate to the number of unduplicated
			volunteers.
			 Goes beyond what was requested, and commits to National Performance Measure
			outcomes that address the community need.
			 Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested.
			 Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed activities
			connect the community need to a National Performance Measure output and outcome.
			 Links four major element ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and
			connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and
			a National Performance Measure output and outcome.
	W C 1/04		Includes a Data Collection Plan.
	XGood (34 pt	ts.)	Clearly and convincingly connects a community need and the service activities to a National Performance Measure <u>OUTPUT</u> .
			 Provides a response to all of the information requested.
			 Provides a realistic description of how the proposed activities connect the community
			need to National Performance Measure outputs.
			 Links four major elements explaining and connecting a community need to RSVP
			volunteer activity, data collection instrument, and a National Performance Measure
			output.
	F: (10 ·)		■ Includes a Data Collection Plan.
	Fair (18 pts.)		Connects a community need and the service activities to a National Performance Measure OUTPUT.
			 Covers a community need, service activities, instrument descriptions and a National
			Performance Measure output that are related.
			Is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities connect the community need to a
			National Performance Measure output and align with the National Performance Measure instructions.
			 Includes unrealistic target numbers or volunteer numbers.
			Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained in describing and
			connecting a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection instruments,
			and a National Performance Measure output.
			Outputs and Outcomes may not be appropriate for the number of unduplicated
			volunteers.
	Does Not Me	et (0 pts.)	Does not connect the four major elements.
			 The community need, service activities, data collection instrument, and National
			Performance Measure output are not related.
			Gives an unclear description of how the proposed activities connect the community need
			to National Performance Measure outputs.
			Includes at least one work plan with zero target numbers.
			Did not connect a community need to RSVP volunteer activity, data collection
			instrument, and a National Performance Measure outcome.
			Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	07 In accession	ما المحددة الم	Does not address one of the four major elements.
			lans, applications will receive credit for percentage of unduplicated * volunteers in sure outcome work plans above the minimum 10%.
	>80%	(50 pts.)	(Note: This percentage is generated by the eGrants performance module. Potential applicants
	60% - 80%		may use the recommended worksheet associated with the Senior Corps: RSVP Grant Application
L		(40 pts.)	

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity # Panel #				
Reviewer Name	1	PC	Int	Ex

T			
40% - <60% _(30 pts.)	to develop their work plans.)		
_X_20% - <40%	*Number of Unduplicated Volunteers: This is the proposed number of volunteers who will be		
(20 pts.)	performing each service activity. Each volunteer can only be counted once when assigned to a		
_> 10% - <20% (10 pts.)	service activity. The volunteer should be counted in the area where he/she will make the most		
	impact – in terms of the type of service or in terms of the scope of service, such as the most		
_<10% (0 pts.)	number of hours served.		
STRENGTHS: (Prov	ide significant strengths identified in your assessment)		
WEAKNESSES: (Pro	ovide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment)		
	trying to include prohibited activities in their Primary Focus Area. There's no way they		
should be funded.			
Does not serve milita	ary families		
D	January & W. Louten (4507)		
	elopment of Volunteers (15%)		
Q8. Demonstrates a plan and infrastructure to create well-developed high quality RSVP volunteer assignments with opportunities to share their experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through service in			
their communities.	e compensation, and some to improve their communities and themselves through sorvice in		
_Excellent (38 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
(38 pts.)	 Volunteer assignments include all of the following: opportunities to share their 		
	experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through		
	service in their communities.		
	 Goes beyond what was requested and is actively measuring the impact of volunteer activity on the RSVP volunteer. 		
	Provides a clear and realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments,		
	and the infrastructure to sustain this volunteer coordination.		
_x_Good (26 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
(20 pts.)	 Volunteer assignments include at least three of the following: opportunities to share 		
	their experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves		
	through service in their communities. Provides a realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
	1 Tovides a Teansite plan to create high quanty K5 v1 volunteer assignments.		
	 Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure to sustain this volunteer coordination. 		
Fair	Realistic plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments.		
—Fair (14 pts.)	 Volunteer assignments include at least two of the following: opportunities to share their 		
	experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through		
	service in their communities.		
	Is sometimes unclear how the proposed plan and infrastructure will create high quality		
	RSVP volunteer assignments.		
	 Makes some assumptions regarding the infrastructure required to coordinate volunteers. Unrealistic or no plan to create high quality RSVP volunteer assignments. 		
Does Not Meet ₍₀	Volunteer assignments include only one of the following: opportunities to share their		
pts.)	experiences, abilities, and skills to improve their communities and themselves through		
	1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Comment [PS2]: This section included a nearly even number of high and low scores, but no comments are included in the Strengths section.

Comments entered should reflect the scores selected for the section.

Comment [PS3]: Do not include inflammatory comments or make judgments about whether they application should be selected for funding.

Comment [PS4]: Do not use incomplete sentences.

Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant:		Applicant	ID#	
Opportunity #		Panel #		
Reviewer Name	P	C	Int	Ex

	service in their communities.
	 Gives an unclear description of how the proposed plan or infrastructure will create high
	quality RSVP volunteer assignments.
	 Does not address volunteer coordination or gives many unsupported assumptions.
	 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	and infrastructure to ensure RSVP volunteers receive training needed to be highly effective means to
	nunity need(s) in both the Primary Focus Area and in Other Focus Areas or Capacity Building.
Excellent (38 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to create high quality RSVP volunteer training that includes
	evaluations of the training by the RSVP volunteers or the stations.
	Goes beyond what was requested and is actively evaluating the training.
	 Provides a clear and realistic plan to train volunteers, with infrastructure that includes a training curriculum and training material.
6 1	Realistic plan and infrastructure to train RSVP volunteers.
_x_Good (26 pts.)	Provides a realistic plan to train volunteer.
	Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure required to support RSVP
	volunteer training.
E-i-	Realistic plan to train RSVP volunteers.
Fair (14 pts.)	 Is sometimes unclear how the training activity is related to service activities.
	Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure required to support RSVP volunteer
	training.
Does Not Meet (0	Unrealistic or no plan to provide training to RSVP volunteers.
Does Not Meet (0	• Gives an unclear description of how the proposed training is related to service activities.
pts.)	Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	 Does not address RSVP volunteer training.
Q10. Describes the demog	graphics of the community served and plans to recruit a volunteer pool reflective of the community
served. This could possible	•
	diverse races, ethnicities, sexual orientations, or degrees of English language proficiency.
	itary family members as RSVP volunteers.
3. RSVP volunteers	Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of
_Excellent (38 pts.)	RSVP volunteers who are from one of the specific volunteer pools above, and that includes
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool.
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development.
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools.
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above.
_x_Good (26 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of
_x_Good (26 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above.
_x_Good (26 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools.
_x_Good (26 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools. Explains most assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment.
_x_Good (26 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools. Explains most assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment. Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
_x_Good (26 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools. Explains most assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment. Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including
	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools. Explains most assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment. Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about two of the three volunteer pools above.
_x_Good (26 pts.) _Fair (14 pts.)	developing service activities that might be particularly attractive to the volunteer pool. Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has partnered with volunteer stations that will assist in recruitment and development. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan to recruit and develop RSVP volunteers from one of the above volunteer pools. Supports ideas and objectives with comprehensive plans explaining and connecting service activity to recruitment and development. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about all three volunteer pools above. Realistic plan and infrastructure for significant activity in the recruitment and development of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Provides a realistic plan to recruit and develop one of the above volunteer pools. Explains most assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment. Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant:	Applicant	ID#	
Opportunity #	Panel #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex

	 Plan is sometimes unclear how the proposed activities will serve recruitment and development from one of the above volunteer pools. Makes some assumptions about infrastructure required for recruitment. Includes a comprehensive description of the community demographics including demographic information about one of the three volunteer pools above.
Does Not Meet ₍₀ pts.)	Unrealistic or no plan for the recruitment and development of volunteers who are from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Gives an unclear plan of how the proposed activities will serve recruitment. Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it. Does not address the recruitment of RSVP volunteers from one of the specific volunteer pools above. Does not include a description of the community demographics.
O11 Demonstrates a plan	and infrastructure to retain and recognize RSVP volunteers.
_Excellent (36 pts.)	Plan and infrastructure for significant retention and recognition activity that includes measuring the satisfaction of current volunteers. Goes beyond what was requested, and is actively managing retention activities including volunteer satisfaction measurement. Provides a clear and highly compelling plan of how the proposed recognition activities will serve volunteer retention.
_x_Good _(24 pts.)	Plan and infrastructure for significant retention and recognition activity. Provides a realistic plan of how the proposed recognition activities will serve volunteer retention. Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure that supports volunteer retention.
Fair (12 pts.)	Plan for some retention and recognition activity. Plan is sometimes unclear how the proposed recognition activities will serve volunteer retention. Makes some assumptions regarding volunteer retention.
Does Not Meet ₍₀ pts.)	Unrealistic or no retention and recognition activity. Gives an unclear plan of how the proposed recognition activities will support volunteer retention. Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding volunteer retention. Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.
	vide significant strengths identified in your assessment) established organizational with a solid fisal management system with a strong track record
The responses in this se Page 17 was very clear.	ction were good.
	ovide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment)
approant did not n	and a son decimality of the distriction

Comment [PS5]: This comment does not apply to any of the selection criteria for this section. It would be a better fit in the Organizational Capacity section because it applies to Q17. Examples of what makes the track record so strong would enhance the comment. The comment also includes grammar and spelling errors.

Comment [PS6]: This comment does not include enough detail to connect the comment to this specific application. Each comment written should pertain to a specific selection criteria.

Comment [PS7]: Comments should reference specific examples rather than page numbers.

Comment [PS8]: None of the selection criteria ask about a non-discrimination statement.

Corporation for National and Community Service			
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPE	TITION		
Legal Applicant:	Appl	icant ID#	
Opportunity #	Pane	1 #	
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex

-	0 0	ement – Questions 12-16			
	Organizational C				
	 Organizational Capability – Questions 17-20 				
	Program Management	(15%)			
	Q12. Plans and infrastructure to ensure management of volunteer stations in compliance with RSVP program regulations				
	(such as preventing or ident				
-	Excellent (30 pts.)	Realistic and dynamic plan and infrastructure to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations and have a plan to prevent and identify prohibited activities. Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating and assessing current volunteer station management.			
		 Provides a clear and realistic plan to manage volunteer stations, and the infrastructure to sustain them. Addresses how to prevent or identify prohibited activities. 			
-	_Good (20 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations. Provides a realistic plan to engage and manage volunteer stations. Explains most assumptions.			
		 Explains most assumptions about prevention of or identifying prohibited activities. 			
-	Fair (10 pts.)	Realistic plan to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations. Is sometimes unclear how the proposed plan will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations. Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure required to prevent or identify			
	_x_Does Not Meet ₍₀	prohibited activities. Unrealistic or no plan to ensure volunteer stations and assignments comply with RSVP program regulations.			
j	pts.)	 Gives an unclear description of how the proposed plan or infrastructure will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations. 			
		 Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding prevention of or identification of prohibited activities. 			
	O42 Pl 1: 6	Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.			
	Q13. Plans and infrastructur assigned service activities.	re to develop and/or oversee volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are performing their			
	Excellent (30 pts.)	Realistic and dynamic plan and infrastructure for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are performing assigned service activities. Goes beyond what was requested; is actively evaluating and assessing current volunteer			
		 assignments. Clearly describes plans and infrastructure to develop and/or oversee volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are performing assigned service activities. Provides a clear and highly compelling description of how the proposed activities will be managed by the project. 			
	Good (20 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are performing assigned service activities.			
		 Provides a realistic description of plans and infrastructure to develop and/or oversee volunteer stations in order to ensure volunteers are performing assigned activities. 			
	Fair (10 pts.)	 Explains most assumptions and reasons. Realistic plan for developing and overseeing volunteer stations to ensure that volunteers are performing assigned service activities. 			
		g			

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant:	Applicant	ID#	
Opportunity #	Panel #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex

unteers
between
between
between
between
ers as
meet
e
ns to
needs.
uption
nging ers.
uption
upuon
or
is to
t lead
unteers
licable,
nteers in
ary

Corporation for National and Community Service			
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP Com	PETITION		
Legal Applicant:	Applica	nt ID#	
Opportunity #	Panel #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex

		The applicant organization has a track record of effective management of volunteers in the
	Good (20 pts.)	Primary Focus Area.
		 Demonstrates a sound track record in managing volunteers in the Primary Focus Area.
		 Examples of current or past activity in the Primary Focus Area.
		 Provides most of the information requested in 1) managing volunteers, 2) Primary
		Focus Area, and 3) measuring performance.
	Fair (10 pts.)	The applicant organization has some experience in managing volunteers or some experience in the Primary Focus Area.
		 Demonstrates some experience in managing volunteers OR demonstrates some experience in the Primary Focus Area.
		 Includes minimal examples of current or past activity.
		Provides responses to only two of the three parts of the information requested in 1)
		managing volunteers, 2) Primary Focus Area, and 3) measuring performance.
	_x_Does Not Meet ₍₀	The applicant organization has no experience in either managing volunteers or the Primary Focus Area.
	pts.)	No examples of current or past activity in managing volunteers or in the Primary Focus
	r /	Area.
	include establishing an RSV	and infrastructure to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to /P Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required unteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
	Excellent (30 pts.)	Realistic and dynamic plan and infrastructure to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP
		volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
		 Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating and assessing current RSVP Advisory Council, station requirements, and volunteer eligibility.
		 Provides a clear and realistic plan to manage volunteer and station requirements, and
		the infrastructure to sustain this management.
	Good _(20 pts.)	Realistic plan and infrastructure to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
		 Provides a realistic plan to engage and manage volunteer stations.
		 Explains most assumptions.
		 Provides a realistic plan for an RSVP Advisory Council.
	_x_Fair (10 pts.)	Realistic plan to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations that
		have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
1		Is sometimes unclear how the proposed plan will ensure compliance with RSVP
1		program regulations for volunteer stations and volunteers.
		Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure required to support the RSVP
-		Advisory Council.
	Does Not Meet ₍₀	Unrealistic or no plan to ensure the project is in compliance with the RSVP federal regulations to include establishing an RSVP Advisory Council, ensuring RSVP volunteers are placed in stations
	pts.)	that have signed the required MOU, and ensuring all volunteers are eligible to serve in RSVP.
		 Gives an unclear description of how the proposed plan or infrastructure will ensure compliance with RSVP program regulations for Advisory Council establishment and station and volunteer eligibility requirements.
		Gives many unsupported assumptions.
L	1	/abbotter accentipation.

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM			
2014 RSVP COMPETITION			
Legal Applicant:	Applicant	ID#	
Opportunity #	Panel #		
Reviewer Name	PC	Int	Ex

	■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.			
STRENGTHS: (Pro	ovide significant strengths identified in your assessment)			
	rovide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment)			
	ns I reviewed had much better recruitment plans.			
Narrative shows that th	ney don't know how to manage volunteer stations in compliance with program regulations.			
Organizational Capa				
	ture to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight (both financial and in-kind) and day-to-day			
* * * *	sure compliance with RSVP program requirements (statutes, regulations, and applicable OMB			
	countability and efficient and effective use of available resources. Highest confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal			
_Excellent (50 pts.)	oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program			
	requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Goes beyond what was requested, is actively evaluating how programmatic and fiscal			
	oversight and day-to-day operational support may affect internal policies.			
	Provides a clear and realistic plan to manage and regularly assess and provide sound			
	programmatic and fiscal oversight and day-to-day operational support, to include clearly			
	defined internal policies.			
_x_Good (34 pts.)	High confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal			
= = = (34 pts.)	oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program			
	requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Provides a realistic plan to manage and assess sound programmatic and fiscal oversight			
	and day-to-day operational support, to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	 Explains most assumptions regarding infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight. 			
P.	Fair to acceptable confidence in the plan and infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and			
Fair (18 pts.)	fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program			
	requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Provides a realistic plan to manage sound programmatic and fiscal oversight and day-to-			
	day operational support, to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of			
	available resources.			
	 Makes some assumptions regarding infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and 			
	fiscal oversight.			
Does Not Meet ₍₀	Low confidence in the plan or absence of infrastructure to provide sound programmatic and			
	fiscal oversight, day-to-day operational support, to ensure compliance with RSVP program			
pts.)	requirements and to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Does not provide a clear description of sound programmatic and fiscal oversight and day to day constrained support, to enough exposurability and officient and offic			
	day-to-day operational support, to ensure accountability and efficient and effective use of available resources.			
	Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding operational infrastructure.			
1	1 Orves many unsupported assumptions regarding operational initiastructure.			

Comment [PS9]: Comments should be about how this specific application rates against the selection criteria, rather than how it compares to other applications.

Comment [PS10]: Enough information should be included to demonstrate how the narrative indicated that stations would not be managed in compliance with program regulations.

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity # Panel #				
Reviewer Name	PC Int Ex		Ex	

	■ Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it.					
,	Demonstrates clearly defined paid staff positions, including identification of current staff assigned to the project and					
	s will ensure the accomplishment of program objectives.					
Excellent (50 pts.)	Provides clearly defined paid staff positions, including how these positions will ensure the accomplishment of program objectives and (as applicable) identification of current staff assigned to the project.					
	 Goes beyond what was requested and is actively assessing staff position compatibility with project management. 					
	 Provides a clear and realistic plan that connects paid staff with the accomplishment of program objectives. 					
Good (34 pts.)	Provides clearly defined staff positions, including how these positions will ensure the accomplishment of program objectives and (as applicable) identification of current staff assigned to the project.					
	 Provides a realistic staff planning infrastructure. 					
	 Staff assignments are coordinated with project management. 					
	 Explains most assumptions regarding the infrastructure required for paid staff. 					
_x_Fair (18 pts.)	Provides some description of paid staff positions, including (as applicable) identification of current staff assigned to the project.					
	 Provides a realistic staff planning infrastructure. 					
	 Staff assignments are coordinated with project management. 					
	 Makes some assumptions regarding the infrastructure required for paid staff. 					
Does Not Meet ₍₀	No clear description of paid staff positions, including (as applicable) identification of current staff assigned to the project.					
pts.)	■ Does not provide a clear description of how staff assignments are coordinated with					
	project management.					
	 Gives many unsupported assumptions regarding the infrastructure required for paid staff. 					
	 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it. 					
Q19. Demonstrates organiz						
Develop and imple	ement internal policies and operating procedures to provide governance and manage risk, such as annel management, and purchasing.					
Manage capital ass	sets such as facilities, equipment, and supplies.					
_Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as described above.					
	 Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has anticipated issues that may arise and provides details on solutions to potential organizational issues. 					
	 Provides a thorough, detailed response to all of the information requested above. 					
	 Provides a clear and highly compelling description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the project and grant funds. 					
 _x_Good (34 pts.)	High probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as described above.					
	 Provides a response to all of the information requested above. 					
	 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the project and grant funds. 					
	 Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines. 					
Fair (18 pts.)	Fair to acceptable probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as described above.					
	Covers most of the information requested above, with a few exceptions.					
	overs most of the information requested above, with a few exceptions.					

Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity # Panel #				
Reviewer Name	PC Int I		Ex	

	 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the project and grant funds.
	 Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained. Low probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure as
Does Not Meet ₍₀	required above.
pts.)	 Does not describe sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the project and grant funds.
	 Makes many assumptions and many reasons are not defined.
	 Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it
	 Does not provide one or more key pieces of information requested above.
Q20. Demonstrates organ experience managing feder	izational infrastructure in the areas of robust financial management capacity and systems and past ral grant funds.
Excellent (50 pts.)	Highest probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds.
	 Goes beyond what was requested, showing that the applicant has anticipated issues that may arise in financial management systems and managing federal grant funds and provides details on solutions to potential organizational issues.
	 Provides a thorough, detailed response that addresses both robust financial management systems and past experience managing federal grant funds to include examples and outlines.
	 Provides a clear and highly compelling description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the grant funds.
Good (34 pts.)	High probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds.
	 Provides a response to both robust financial management systems and past experience managing federal grant funds.
	 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the grant funds.
	Supports ideas with plans, examples, or outlines.
_x_Fair (18 pts.)	Fair to acceptable probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds.
	 Covers most of the information for both robust financial management systems and past experience managing federal grant funds, with a few exceptions.
	 Provides a realistic description of sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the grant funds.
	Makes some assumptions and leaves some reasons unexplained. Leaves to be in the control of
Does Not Meet ₍₀	Low probability and confidence that the grantee has sufficient organizational infrastructure in financial management systems and experience managing federal grant funds.
pts.)	 Does not describe sufficient organizational infrastructure to support the grant funds.
	Makes many assumptions and many reasons are not defined.
	• Tends to "parrot" back the question, rather than answer and explain it
OTTOTAL -	Does not provide one or more key pieces of information requested.
STRENGTHS: (Prov Great plan for fiscal over	vide significant strengths identified in your assessment) rsight!

Comment [PS11]: The comment should explain what exactly about the plan resulted in high confidence to provide sound programmatic and fiscal oversight.

Corporation for National and Community Service				
INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM				
2014 RSVP COMPETITION				
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #				
Opportunity # Panel #				
Reviewer Name	P	PC Int		Ex

WEAKNESSES: (Provide significant weaknesses identified in your assessment)

It is unclear whether the organization has internal policies in place to provide governance and manage risk.

0 TOTAL SCORE: ____OF 850

Comment [PS12]: Comments should not simply parrot back the questions. Also, this comment applies to Q19, which was rated as good and so should not result in a comment in the weaknesses section

Comment [PS13]: Reviewer did not include the total score.

APPLICANT FEEDBACK AND CLARIFICATION

A. Significant Strengths and Weaknesses for Applicant Feedback

<u>List 5-8 comments</u> about how the application addresses the Selection Criteria. Using complete sentences, address the significant strengths and weaknesses identified in your assessment that attributed to the selected Ratings, per the reviewer rubric. The comments must be selected from strengths and weaknesses already noted above. <u>Ensure the comments</u> <u>respond directly to the Selection Criteria from all categories</u> (program design, program management, and organizational capability).

STRENGTHS:

The applicant clearly identified their Primary Focus area to address the unmet community needs. It was documented how the RSVP Director will be fully responsible for recruiting their volunteers. The applicant has developed a plan to graduate their volunteer station sites to meet the demands of the community.

Comment [PS14]: Comments in this section should come from comments already entered in the IRF. Also, these comments are rather short and general. More details are needed in order to generate feedback to the applicant.

WEAKNESSES:

 No plan was given to show how the National Performance Measure outputs and outcomes will be collected and measured.

(-) Limited details are provided about how volunteers are recognized.

Comment [PS15]: Do not use bullets.

Comment [PS16]: Do not use plus or minus signs to indicate strengths or weaknesses.

B. CLARIFICATION

Corporation for National and Community Service INDIVIDUAL REVIEWER FORM 2014 RSVP COMPETITION					
Legal Applicant: Applicant ID #					
Opportunity # Panel #					
Reviewer Name	PC Int Ex				

LIST CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS BELOW. GUIDELINES FOR CLARIFICATION CAN BE FOUND IN THE REVIEWER TRAINING. PHRASE ALL CLARIFICATION ITEMS AS QUESTIONS OR REQUESTS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

Comment [PS17]: At least one 'Does not meet' selection was made. This should result in at least one clarification question.

